

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/599,125	Applicant(s) BUENO MELENDO ET AL
		Examiner BRIAN MCDOWELL	Art Unit 1624

All Participants:

(1) BRIAN MCDOWELL

(2) Elizabeth Dingess-Hammond

Date of Interview: 20 August 2009

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ODP

Claims discussed:

1,6,9,11,12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and applicant agreed that the ODP rejection was inappropriate and that the rejection would be withdrawn. Subsequently, claims 1,6,11, and 12 would be allowed once a request to withdraw the petition of record is filed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRIAN MCDOWELL/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)